

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· ,			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,935	10/30/2002	Billy W. Smith		6392	
75	90 03/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
BILLY W. SM P.O. Box 199	ПТН		HUYNH, KHOA D		
Ethridge, TN	38456		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3751		
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del>,</del> ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,935	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khoa D. Huynh	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a soun.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this commu  BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 October 2002.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) accepted or b) of the drawing (s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	?)

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the regular sized riding lawn mower gas cap as recited in claim 1 must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

## Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: a regular sized riding lawn mower gas cap as recited in claim 1.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: except for the first upper case letter, any other upper case letter in the claim is not permitted. Furthermore, only at the end of the claim a period can be used (see cited patents for samples of how to draft a claim). Appropriate correction is strongly suggested.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as what structural limitation applicant intends to cover when claim 1 calls for "this... plastic cylinder, applied together with a regular sized riding lawn mower gas cap". As understood from the instant specification, the funnel comprises a cylinder with an upper portion 1 (the circular cone) and a lower portion 2 (the gas cap). The specification does not disclose that the cylinder "is applied together with a regular sized riding lawn mower gas cap" as called for in

Application/Control Number: 10/666,935

Art Unit: 3751

claim 1. Such lack of support for the claimed subject matter renders the claim indefinite since it is difficult to ascertain the scope of the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tyree, Jr. (5445196).

The Tyree, Jr. reference discloses a filler for transferring gas into a small tank of a lawnmower. The filler (10) includes a cylinder (Fig. 1) having a circular cone upper portion (12) that is narrowed at the base and is made of durable plastic material (such as PET), and a plastic made lower portion or gas cap (11) constructed to attach the filler to the inlet of the gas tank (16). The lower portion or gas cap has a center hole (the opening where element 12 inserted into the gas cap). The gas cap, as schematically shown in Figures 1 & 9, the gas cap has grips around the outer side for allowing the opening and closing of the gas cap. The filler is designed to provide safety and convenience for supplying the small tank with gasoline.

Regarding the recitation "a hole <u>drilled through</u>", even though the Tyree,

Jr. reference does not specifically disclose that the hole is drilled through, the

method of forming (i.e. drilling, injection molding, compression molding and so

Application/Control Number: 10/666,935 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

on) the hole is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself because it appears that the device in the Tyree, Jr. reference would be the same or similar as that claimed. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

## Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,460,582 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending claim 1 contains claimed subject matter that takes the interpretation similar to or broader than the patented claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,460,582 B1.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ellis Calvo et al., Wheeler, Najafi et al., Schmid et al., Correll,

Art Unit: 3751

Porter et al., Sentmore, Sr. et al., Cummins et al., Tyree, Jr. ('567), Tyree, Jr. ('343) and Smith were cited to show a funnel having a circular cone upper portion that is narrowed at the base and a lower portion or gas cap (11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 03/12/2005